

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/349,347	09/349,347 07/07/1999		CATHERINE ROSENBERG	585-1003	6531
23644	7590	03/01/2005		EXAMINER	
BARNES P.O. BOX	-	NBURG	ABELSON, RONALD B		
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2666	
				DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/349,347	ROSENBERG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronald Abelson	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/15	5/04.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 23-43</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 23-43</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
))⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· * * *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, and				
See the didented detailed Office action for a list	t of the certained copies flot receiv	cu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) _, Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	-,					

Art Unit: 2666

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the integration of the connection admission control (CAC), bandwidth on demand (BoD), and allocation table must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from independent claims 1, 13, 20, and 23. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the

Art Unit: 2666

filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Figure 1, as described in the specification on page 15 lines 15 and 16, shows the network connections between a SAU, CAC, and BoD. However, the SAU, CAC, and BoD are not labeled in the drawing. Therefore, the network connections are not apparent to the examiner.

Figure 3, as described in the specification on page 15 lines 21 and 22 and page 16 lines 5-16, shows a CAC allocation table. The applicant maintains that in the CAC allocation table each box represents a time-slot of a MF-TDMA common medium uplink. However, no boxes are apparent in the drawing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and

Art Unit: 2666

use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-21 and 23-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 1, 13, 20, and 23, the integration of the connection admission control (CAC), bandwidth on demand (BoD), and allocation table was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As previously mentioned with regard to the drawings, connection between the CAC and BoD is not apparent to the examiner.

Therefore, the integration of the CAC, BoD, and allocation table is also not apparent to the examiner. Although the specification states that an allocation table is controlled partly by the CAC when allocating static resources and partly by the BoD when allocating dynamic resources (pg. 11 lines 4-17), the

Art Unit: 2666

specification does not define the structure of these devices. It is unclear to the examiner if the CAC and BoD are physical devices or software modules.

4. Claims 1-21 and 23-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 13, 20, and 23, the applicant has not distinctly claimed the integration of the CAC, BoD, and allocation table (see reasoning above with respect to the 112 1st paragraph rejection).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Abelson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3165. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 2666

Page 6

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RU

Ronald Abelson Examiner Art Unit 2666

* * *

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER SECT